

A Causal Theory of Speech Acts

Chiaki Sakama

Wakayama University, Japan

Background

- An **assertive speech act** commits a speaker to the truth of the expressed proposition, but she does not always have complete knowledge about the world.
- It may happen that a speaker utters a believed-true sentence which is actually false. In this case, a speaker acts truthfully but a hearer would consider the speaker untrustful.
- Whether a speech act is **truthful or not** depends on the belief state of a speaker, while whether a speech act is **trustful or not** is judged by the truth of information conveyed by the utterance.

Contribution

- We formulate assertive speech acts by agents having incomplete knowledge using a **nonmonotonic causal logic**.
- It distinguishes (un)truthful and/or (un)trustful speech acts and represents performative effects on hearers.
- A causal theory is implemented by **logic programming**.

Causal Logic

(Giunchiglia, *et al.*, *Artif. Intell.* 153, 2004)

- A **causal theory** T consists of **causal rules** of the form:

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi \quad \text{“}\psi \text{ is caused if } \varphi \text{ is true”}$$

where φ and ψ are propositional formulas.

- In particular, a **constraint** is represented as

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \perp \quad \text{“}\varphi \text{ cannot be true”}$$

- An interpretation I (complete & consistent finite set of literals) is a **model** of T iff $I = \{ L \mid T' \models L \}$ where $T' = \{ \psi \mid (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \in T \text{ for some } \varphi \text{ and } I \models \varphi \}$.
- I is a model of T iff I is the unique model of T' .

Actions & Fluents

- $Utter_t(x, \sigma)$: an agent x utters a sentence σ at time t
- $Hold_t(\sigma)$: a sentence σ is true at time t
- $Bel_t(x, \sigma)$: an agent x believes a sentence σ at time t
- The following relations are defined:

$$Hold_t(\top) \equiv Bel_t(x, \top) \equiv \top, \quad Hold_t(\perp) \equiv Bel_t(x, \perp) \equiv \perp$$

$$Hold_t(\neg\sigma) \equiv \neg Hold_t(\sigma) \quad \text{for any } x, \sigma, t$$

where \top represents true and \perp represents false.

Causal Theory of Speech Acts

- A causal theory of speech acts $CT_{x\sigma}^t$ consists of rules:

$$(\neg)Utter_t(x,\sigma) \Rightarrow (\neg)Utter_t(x,\sigma) \quad (\text{action rule})$$

“if an action (*Utter*) occurs at t , there is a cause of this”

$$(\neg)Hold_t(\sigma) \Rightarrow (\neg)Hold_t(\sigma) \quad (\text{fluent rules})$$

$$(\neg)Bel_t(x,\sigma) \Rightarrow (\neg)Bel_t(x,\sigma)$$

“if a fluent (*Hold* or *Bel*) holds at t , there is a cause of this”

$$(\neg)Hold_t(\sigma) \wedge (\neg)Hold_{t+1}(\sigma) \Rightarrow (\neg)Hold_{t+1}(\sigma)$$

$$(\neg)Bel_t(x,\sigma) \wedge (\neg)Bel_{t+1}(x,\sigma) \Rightarrow (\neg)Bel_{t+1}(x,\sigma)$$

(inertia rules)

“if the truth value of a fluent (*Hold* or *Bel*) at t is identical with the value at $t+1$, then the value at $t+1$ is caused by persistence”

(Un)trustful/(Un)truthful Speech Acts

- A **(un)trustful speech act** of a sentence σ by an agent a at time t is defined as:

$$\text{Trustful}(a, \sigma, t) := CT_{a\sigma}^t \cup \{ \text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \wedge \neg \text{Hold}_t(\sigma) \Rightarrow \perp \}$$

$$\text{Untrustful}(a, \sigma, t) := CT_{a\sigma}^t \cup \{ \text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \wedge \text{Hold}_t(\sigma) \Rightarrow \perp \}$$

- A **(un)truthful speech act** of a sentence σ by an agent a at time t is defined as:

$$\text{Truthful}(a, \sigma, t) := CT_{a\sigma}^t \cup \{ \text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \wedge \neg \text{Bel}_t(a, \sigma) \Rightarrow \perp \}$$

$$\text{Untruthful}(a, \sigma, t) := CT_{a\sigma}^t \cup \{ \text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \wedge \text{Bel}_t(a, \sigma) \Rightarrow \perp \}$$

Properties

- $\text{Trustful}(a, \sigma, t) \wedge \text{Untrustful}(a, \sigma, t) \supset \neg \text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma)$
- $\text{Truthful}(a, \sigma, t) \wedge \text{Untruthful}(a, \sigma, t) \supset \neg \text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma)$
- $\text{Trustful}(a, \sigma, t) \wedge \text{Truthful}(a, \sigma, t) \supset$
 $(\text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \supset \text{Hold}_t(\sigma) \wedge \text{Bel}_t(a, \sigma))$
- $\text{Trustful}(a, \sigma, t) \wedge \text{Untruthful}(a, \sigma, t) \supset$
 $(\text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \supset \text{Hold}_t(\sigma) \wedge \neg \text{Bel}_t(a, \sigma))$
- $\text{Untrustful}(a, \sigma, t) \wedge \text{Truthful}(a, \sigma, t) \supset$
 $(\text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \supset \neg \text{Hold}_t(\sigma) \wedge \text{Bel}_t(a, \sigma))$
- $\text{Untrustful}(a, \sigma, t) \wedge \text{Untruthful}(a, \sigma, t) \supset$
 $(\text{Utter}_t(a, \sigma) \supset \neg \text{Hold}_t(\sigma) \wedge \neg \text{Bel}_t(a, \sigma))$

Effect of Speech Acts on Hearers

- Suppose that a speaker a utters a sentence σ at time t , which brings about a hearer b 's believing σ at time $t+1$. It is represented by the causal rule:

$$Utter_t(a, \sigma) \Rightarrow Bel_{t+1}(b, \sigma)$$

- A hearer would believe an utterance only when it is consistent with her own belief. The situation is represented by the constraint:

$$Bel_s(b, \neg\sigma) \wedge Bel_s(b, \sigma) \Rightarrow \perp \quad \text{for } s=t, t+1$$

(Mis)inform/(In)sincere

- Let a and b two agents. Define

$$\mathbf{Inform}(a,b,\sigma,t) := \mathbf{Trustful}(a,\sigma,t) \cup \Delta_{ab\sigma}^t$$

$$\mathbf{Misinform}(a,b,\sigma,t) := \mathbf{Untrustful}(a,\sigma,t) \cup \Delta_{ab\sigma}^t$$

$$\mathbf{Sincere}(a,b,\sigma,t) := \mathbf{Truthful}(a,\sigma,t) \cup \Delta_{ab\sigma}^t$$

$$\mathbf{Insincere}(a,b,\sigma,t) := \mathbf{Untruthful}(a,\sigma,t) \cup \Delta_{ab\sigma}^t$$

where $\Delta_{ab\sigma}^t$ consists of rules:

$$(\neg)Bel_t(b,\delta) \Rightarrow (\neg)Bel_t(b,\delta) \quad \text{where } \delta \in \{\sigma, \neg\sigma\}$$

$$(\neg)Bel_t(b,\delta) \wedge (\neg)Bel_{t+1}(b,\delta) \Rightarrow (\neg)Bel_{t+1}(b,\delta)$$

$$Utter_t(a,\sigma) \Rightarrow Bel_{t+1}(b,\sigma)$$

$$Bel_s(b,\neg\sigma) \wedge Bel_s(b,\sigma) \Rightarrow \perp \quad \text{for } s=t, t+1$$

Misleading/Deceiving

- **Misinform** has the model representing **misleading**:

$\{ Utter_t(a, \sigma), Bel_t(a, \sigma), \neg Bel_t(b, \sigma), \neg Bel_t(b, \neg \sigma), \neg Hold_t(\sigma),$
 $Bel_{t+1}(a, \sigma), Bel_{t+1}(b, \sigma), \neg Bel_{t+1}(b, \neg \sigma), \neg Hold_{t+1}(\sigma) \}$

“a speaker a utters a **believed-true** sentence σ that is **actually false**, and it causes a hearer b ’s **acquiring the false belief**”

- **Insincere** has the model representing **deceiving**:

$\{ Utter_t(a, \sigma), \neg Bel_t(a, \sigma), \neg Bel_t(b, \sigma), \neg Bel_t(b, \neg \sigma), \neg Hold_t(\sigma),$
 $\neg Bel_{t+1}(a, \sigma), Bel_{t+1}(b, \sigma), \neg Bel_{t+1}(b, \neg \sigma), \neg Hold_{t+1}(\sigma) \}$

“a speaker a utters a **disbelieved** sentence σ that is **actually false**, and it causes a hearer b ’s **acquiring the false belief**”

Encoding in Logic Programming

- A causal theory of speech acts $CT_{x\sigma}^t$ is encoded into a logic program $\Pi_{x\sigma}^t$ such that

$$Utter_t(x,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } \neg Utter_t(x,\sigma), \quad \neg Utter_t(x,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } Utter_t(x,\sigma)$$

$$Hold_t(\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } \neg Hold_t(\sigma), \quad \neg Hold_t(\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } Hold_t(\sigma)$$

$$Hold_{t+1}(\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } \neg Hold_t(\sigma), \text{not } \neg Hold_{t+1}(\sigma)$$

$$\neg Hold_{t+1}(\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } Hold_t(\sigma), \text{not } Hold_{t+1}(\sigma)$$

$$Bel_t(x,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } \neg Bel_t(x,\sigma), \quad \neg Bel_t(x,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } Bel_t(x,\sigma)$$

$$Bel_{t+1}(x,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } \neg Bel_t(x,\sigma), \text{not } \neg Bel_{t+1}(x,\sigma)$$

$$\neg Bel_{t+1}(x,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{not } Bel_t(x,\sigma), \text{not } Bel_{t+1}(x,\sigma)$$

- Then I is a model of $CT_{x\sigma}^t$ iff I is an answer set of $\Pi_{x\sigma}^t$.
- The effect of assertive speech acts is computed in **answer set programming**.